July 9, 2021

The 8th KAGRA International Workshop @ Online JGW-G2113040 LIGO-G2101428

# Searching for Signals from Ultralight Vector Dark Matter with KAGRA

Yuta Michimura for the KAGRA Collaboration


Department of Physics, University of Tokyo

michimura@phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Fujita Tomohiro, Jun'ya Kume, Soichiro Morisaki, Hiromasa Nakatsuka, Atsushi Nishizawa, Ippei Obata


#### **Dark Matter Searches**

- Previous searches focused mainly on WIMPs
- Need for new ideas to search for huge variety of other candidates



# Ultralight DM with Interferometry

- Bosonic ultralight fields (<~1 eV) are well motivated by cosmology
- Behaves as classical wave fields  $f = 242 \text{ Hz} \left( \frac{m_{\rm DM}}{10^{-12} \text{ eV}} \right)$
- Laser interferometers are sensitive to tiny length changes from such oscillations



# Our Target: Gauge Boson

 Possible new physics beyond the standard model: New gauge symmetry and gauge boson

Proton

Neutron

Electron

Nucleus


gauge

field

- New gauge boson can be dark matter
- B-L (baryon minus lepton number)
  - Conserved in the standard model
  - Can be gauged without additional ingredients
  - Equals to the number of neutrons
  - Roughly 0.5 per neutron mass, but slightly different between materials Fused silica: 0.501 Sapphire: 0.510
- Gauge boson DM gives oscillating force

# **Oscillating Force from Gauge Field**


Acceleration of mirrors



- by measuring the oscillating mirror displacement
- Almost no signal for symmetric cavity if cavity length is short (phase difference is 10<sup>-5</sup> rad @ 100 Hz for km cavity)
- How about using interferometric GW detectors?
  A. Pierce+, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 061102 (2018)


#### Previous Search with LIGO/Virgo

- Gauge boson dark matter search with LIGO O1 data and LIGO/Virgo O3 data have been done H-K Guo+, <u>Communications Physics 2, 155 (2019)</u> LIGO, Virgo, KAGRA Collaboration, <u>arXiv:2105.13085</u>
- Better constraint than equivalence principle tests So far searches focus on  $U(1)_B$  baryon number coupling
- Why repeat the search with KAGRA?




#### Search with GW Detectors


- GW Detectors are sensitive to differential arm length (DARM) change
- Most of the signal is cancelled out (LIGO/Virgo case)




#### Search with KAGRA KAGRA

- KAGRA uses cryogenic sapphire mirrors for arm cavities, and fused silica mirrors for others
- KAGRA can do better than LIGO/Virgo which uses fused silica for all the mirrors<sub>r</sub>





# Search with KAGRA KAGRA




# KAGRA Gauge Boson Sensitivity

- Auxiliary length channels have better design sensitivity than DARM (GW channel) at low mass range
- Sensitivity better than equivalence principle tests frequency (Hz) YM, T. Fujita, S. Morisaki, 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> H. Nakatsuka, I. Obata,  $10^{-20}$ PRD 102, 102001 (2020)  $10^{-21}$ S. Morisaki, T. Fujita, YM, H. Nakatsuka, I. Obata,  $\mathcal{E}_B$ PRD 103, L051702 (2021)  $10^{-22}$ coupling Eöt-Wash  $10^{-23}$ torsion pendulum DARM  $10^{-24}$ (GW channel)  $10^{-25}$ MICROSCOPE mission MICH aths  $10^{-26}$  $10^{-12}$  $10^{-11}$ 10 gauge boson mass  $m_A$  (eV)

#### KAGRA's Observing Run in 2020

- KAGRA performed joint observing run in April 2020 with GEO600 (O3GK)
- Displacement sensitivity still not good
  ~ 6 orders of magnitude to go at 10 Hz
- We have developed a data analysis pipeline to search for gauge boson DM



# Data Analysis Pipeline


- Nearly monochromatic signal  $\omega_i = m_A \left( 1 + \frac{v_i^2}{2} \right)$
- Stack the spectra in this frequency region to calculate SNR  $\rho = \sum \frac{4|\tilde{d}(f_k)|^2}{T_{\rm obs}S_n(f_k)} \text{ Data}$

$$m_A \le 2\pi f_k \le m_A (1+\kappa i)$$

- Detection threshold Obs. time determined assuming  $\rho$  follows  $\chi^2$  distribution (=assuming Gaussian noise)
- From ho , 95% upper limit on coupling constant calculated

PSD

Applied the pipeline to mock data for verification



12


# Stochastic Nature of DM Signal


- DM signal is from superposition of many waves with various momentum, phase and polarization
- The amplitude fluctuates at the time scale of

 $\tau = 2\pi/(m_A v_{\rm DM}^2)$ 

- At low frequencies, DM signal could be too small by chance and elude detection
- Method to calculate upper limit taking into account this stochasticity developed

H. Nakatsuka+, in preparation






# Testing Method on O3GK Data

- Applying the pipeline for two sets of 10<sup>4</sup> sec data
- Veto using
  - sharpness of the peak (  $\Delta f/f \sim 10^{-6}$  for DM)
  - consistency between segments
- Some candidates found (mostly in noise contaminated region)
- Working on further veto by
  - shape of the peaks
  - line noise investigations
  - consistency between channels etc.
- Obtained proof-of-principle results from O3GK data
  - internal review to be done


#### Summary and Outlook

- KAGRA can do unique gauge boson dark matter search since the interferometer consist of sapphire and fused silica mirrors
- Data analysis pipeline developed
- Applied the pipeline to real KAGRA data in 2020 and got proof of principle results
- Further veto studies in progress
- Observing run in 2022 planned with better sensitivity (O4)
- Stay tuned!



#### **Additional Slides**

#### With Space and 3G Detectors



S. Morisaki, T. Fujita, YM, H. Nakatsuka, I. Obata, PRD 103, L051702 (2021)

#### **Freq-Mass-Coherence Time**

Frequency	Mass	Coherent Time	Coherent Length
0.1 Hz	4.1e-16 eV	0.32 year	3e12 m
1 Hz	4.1e-15 eV	1e6 sec 12 days	3e11 m
10 Hz	4.1e-14 eV	1.2 days	3e10 m
100 Hz	4.1e-13 eV	2.8 hours	3e9 m
1000 Hz	4.1e-12 eV	17 minutes	3e8 m
10000 Hz	4.1e-11 eV	1.7 minutes	3e7 m