JGW-T1910352

June 18, 2019

On the effect of arm cavity finesse asymmetry to O3 sensitivity

Yutaro Enomoto

Kentaro Somiya

Yuta Michimura

Background

- Transmission measured to be
  ITMX: 0.444 % ITMY: 0.479% (JGW-T1809173)
- So the asymmetry was 0.076 although MIF requirement was 2*|(T1-T2)/(T1+T2)| < 0.01 (wiki)
- Arm cavity finesse recently measured to be
  Xarm 1440(10) at cryo 1411(2) at room temp.
  klog 9215, 9156, 9097, 9052, 9033, 9014, 8999 and 7307
  Yarm 1300(20) at cryo
  klog 9211, 9169, 9052, 9047, 9040, 9023, 9014, 8995

- ITMs also have inhomogeneity issues as studied in, for example, JGW-G1909955

Finesse and ITM transmission

- Xarm 1440(10) [1411(2)]means
  ITMX transmission + losses = 0.436(3) % [0.445(1) %]
  0.444 % according to JGW-T1809173
- Yarm 1300(20) means
  ITMY transmission + losses = 0.483(7) %
  0.479 % according to JGW-T1809173

- Yarm sounds reasonable but Xarm finesse seems to be too high
  - could be due to finesse measurement at cryogenic temperatures
  - could be due to some systematic errors

Effects of Finesse Asymmetry

- Larger laser frequency noise
- Larger laser intensity noise
- These effects are presented below

- Note that these effects will be even larger with ITM inhomogeneity
- With ITM inhomogeneity, shot noise will be worse due to larger HOMs but the effect would be small (~14 % increase according to JGW-G1909955)

Method for Calculations

- Simulate frequency/intensity noise coupling with Optickle (JGW-T1910341)
  - Note that it gives transfer function from laser frequency noise without CARM suppression to strain sensitivity (CARM openloop gain assumed)
  - Used power at BS 10 W, ITMX 0.44% ITMY 0.479 % case (ITMX 0.44% ITMY 0.4445% as comparison)
- Multiply the coupling factor to frequency noise and intensity noise of IMC transmitted beam
  - frequency noise: 1 Hz/rtHz @ 100 Hz (klog #9291)
  - intensity noise: 2e-5 /rtHz @ 100 Hz without intensity stabilization (klog #9259)

Results

- Intensity noise not OK. Frequency noise at high frequencies not OK (but cannot be resolved even if ITM asymmetry was 0.01)



Intensity noise with stabilization to be measured soon

Conclusions

- Measured arm cavity finesse asymmetry can be basically explained by ITM transmission asymmetry
- We cannot say much if current finesse asymmetry is acceptable for O3 or not since frequency noise and intensity noise is bad at this moment.
- Frequency noise do not meet the O3 requirement at high frequencies. We should identify the noise at high frequencies and try to reduce it.
- Intensity noise without stabilization do not meet the O3 requirement. We need to wait for the stabilization result.
- This finesse asymmetry and ITM inhomogeneity will likely to be an issue for achieving the design sensitivity.

Some More Details

Optickle vs FINESSE

- Optickle calculation do not include ITM HR maps and inhomogeneity; Frequency noise coupling could be worse



Simulated transfer functions from frequency noise with CARM suppression to strain sensitivity

Frequency Noise Requirements

- See JGW-T1707565 (ITM 0.4% and 0.5%, SRM 30%)



Frequency Noise Requirements

- Compared with measurements (klog #9256)



Frequency noise requirement not met above 30 Hz (frequency noise is below bKAGRA sensitivityx1/10 below 30 Hz)