KAGRA F2F Satellite Meeting on Future Upgrade of KAGRA December 4, 2018 @ NAOJ

Summary of Past Discussions on KAGRA Upgrade

Yuta Michimura

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo

on behalf of the Advanced R&D Group

KAGRA Upgrade Discussions

- Semi-officially started from F2F March 2017
- Mainly lead by Advanced R&D group (Chair: Kentaro Somiya)



2

Contents

- LVK Observation Scenario
- History of KAGRA Upgrade Discussions
 - Recap of bKAGRA sensitivity
 - 3 sensitivity curves to start with
 - Science case study
 - Technical feasibility study
 - More realistic plans with budget estimate
 - Summary and suggestion from past studies
- Criticism and Next Plans
- Summary

LVK Observation Scenario

• Possible KAGRA upgrade between O4 and O5



Approved by EO on Oct 17, 2018 (JGW-T1809078)

LVK Observation Scenario

• Possible KAGRA upgrade between O4 and O5



History of KAGRA+ Discussion

- March 2017 F2F @ Niigata U Proposal to start integrated upgrade study Call for upgrade plans
- May 2017 KIW3 @ Academia Sinica Proposals on upgrade plans
- Aug 2017 F2F @ U Toyama
 Science case study
- Dec 2017 F2F Satellite @ Tokyo Tech
 Technical feasibility study
- Jun 2018 KIW4 @ Ewha More realistic plans with budget estimate Tried to conclude on upgrade strategy

Upgrading KAGRA is Tricky

heat

extraction

- Only cryogenic interferometer among 2G
- Not trivial to do both
 - high power (400 kW on mirror)
 - low temperature (20 K)
- Sapphire fibers to extract heat

thinner and longer for suspension thermal noise

for suspension thermal noise reduction

Dilemma

thicker and shorter for heat extraction

2G Sensitivity Comparison

• Not good at low freq. because of thick and short fiber (35 cm, φ1.6 mm) to extract heat, and lower mass



23 kg was the largest available sapphire mirror



2G Sensitivity Comparison

• Not good at low freq. because of thick and short fiber (35 cm, φ1.6 mm) to extract heat, and lower mass



23 kg was the largest available sapphire mirror



History of KAGRA+ Discussion

- March 2017 F2F @ Niigata U Proposal to start integrated upgrade study Call for upgrade plans
- May 2017 KIW3 @ Academia Sinica Proposals on upgrade plans
- Aug 2017 F2F @ U Toyama
 Science case study
- Dec 2017 F2F Satellite @ Tokyo Tech
 Technical feasibility study
- Jun 2018 KIW4 @ Ewha More realistic plans with budget estimate Tried to conclude on upgrade strategy

Four Proposals

- Example sensitivity curves were necessary to start science case study
- Plans technically not too ambitious
- Plan: Blue (by Yutaro Enomoto) use heavier sapphire mirrors
- Plan: Black (by Kentaro Komori) use silicon mirrors
- Plan: Brown (by Koji Nagano)
 Iower the power to focus on low frequency
- Plan: Red (by Sadakazu Haino) increase the power to focus on high frequency¹¹

Sensitivity of Four Proposals



History of KAGRA+ Discussion

- March 2017 F2F @ Niigata U Proposal to start integrated upgrade study Call for upgrade plans
- May 2017 KIW3 @ Academia Sinica
 Proposals on upgrade plans
- Aug 2017 F2F @ U Toyama
 Science case study
- Dec 2017 F2F Satellite @ Tokyo Tech
 Technical feasibility study
- Jun 2018 KIW4 @ Ewha More realistic plans with budget estimate Tried to conclude on upgrade strategy

3 Concepts for Science Case Study

 Sensitivity curves were smoothened, silicon plan was down selected (similar curve with Heavy)



Inputs from Theorists

 Inputs from theorists from various fields QNM from BH merger (by Hiroyuki Nakano) IMBH event rate (by Hisaaki Shinkai) BBH from POP-III (by Tomoya Kinugawa) BBH host galaxy (by Atsushi Nishizawa) Pulsar ellipticity (by Yousuke Itoh) NS equation of state (by Masaru Shibata) Bursts (by Kazuhiro Hayama) Test of GR with inspiral (by Takahiro Tanaka) IMRI (by Norichika Sago)

Summary of Science Case Study

 Although LF has the best inspiral range for heavy BBH (~100M_{sun}), narrow band was not favorable

	bKAGRA	LF	Heavy	HF	
test of GR with BH ringdown	×	×	\bigtriangleup	0] IBased on
existence of IMBH from hierarchical growth	\bigtriangleup	\bigtriangleup	0	\bigtriangleup	inputs from K. Hayama, Y. Itoh,
existence of stellar-mass BBH from popIII	×	×	×	×	T. Kinugawa, H. Nakano, A. Nishizawa
sky localization for BBH (identifying host galaxy)	\bigtriangleup	×	0	0	N. Sago, M. Shibata, H. Shinkai,
pulsar ellipticity	×	×	\bigtriangleup	0	<i>et al</i>
NS equation of state	×	×	\bigtriangleup	0	16

History of KAGRA+ Discussion

- March 2017 F2F @ Niigata U Proposal to start integrated upgrade study Call for upgrade plans
- May 2017 KIW3 @ Academia Sinica
 Proposals on upgrade plans
- Aug 2017 F2F @ U Toyama
 Science case study
- Dec 2017 F2F Satellite @ Tokyo Tech
 Technical feasibility study
- Jun 2018 KIW4 @ Ewha More realistic plans with budget estimate Tried to conclude on upgrade strategy

Technical Feasibility Study

- Dec 2017 F2F Satellite Meeting
- Focused on technical feasibility for HF and Heavy
- Talks on

High power laser (by Li-Wei Wei) Squeezing and filter cavity (by Matteo Leonardi) Bigger sapphire (by Eiichi Hirose) Composite mirror (by Satoshi Tanioka)



Feasibility Study: Heavier Mirror

- Larger sapphire bulk available, but requires R&D for polishing and coating, needs time and money
 - φ 55 cm x t 30 cm (~280 kg) mirror would be possible in the future
 - Current one (φ 22 cm x t 15 cm, 23 kg) more than 1 year to polish, \$0.6M / mirror
 - Current cryostat is quite full (40 kg at most?)



Feasibility Study: High Power

- Higher power laser source at 400 W would be available, but operation is tough
 - thermal compensation
 - parametric instability
 - radiation pressure induced instability etc...
- Could be OK with cryogenic sapphire?





Fig. 7. Output power evolution of CW single-frequenc@amplifiers in all-fiber format operating in 1, 1.5, and 2 µm regions.

Feasibility Study: Filter Cavity

- One core optic per tank, not very crowded
- ~30 m could be OK, but >100 m would require new vacuum tube





Summary of Feasibility Study

- For near term upgrade (~5 years)
 - 40kg mirror at most
 - requires time and money
 - 400 W laser
 - study on PI damping necessary
 - ~100m filter cavity
 - technically feasible
 - more study on optical layout necessary
- For longer term upgrade (~10 years)
 - >100kg mirror ?
 - combination of all of them with larger budget

History of KAGRA+ Discussion

- March 2017 F2F @ Niigata U Proposal to start integrated upgrade study Call for upgrade plans
- May 2017 KIW3 @ Academia Sinica
 Proposals on upgrade plans
- Aug 2017 F2F @ U Toyama
 Science case study
- Dec 2017 F2F Satellite @ Tokyo Tech
 Technical feasibility study
- Jun 2018 KIW4 @ Ewha More realistic plans with budget estimate Tried to conclude on upgrade strategy

Rough Estimate on Costs

- Based on bKAGRA budget, by Kentaro Somiya
- New sapphire mirrors: \$3M
- Sapphire fiber replacement: \$1M
- Cryopayload replacement: \$4M
- Type-A tower replacement: \$2M
- Frequency independent squeezing: \$1M
- Frequency dependent squeezing: \$3M
- 400 W laser and high power input optics: \$3M
- Recycling mirror replacement (for larger beam): \$1M
 SRM replacement: \$0.1M

Possible Near Term Plans

- With budget constraint of ~\$5M, within ~5 years
- Candidates would be A. 40 kg mirror with better coating (>\$4M?) and new sapphire fibers (\$1M?) (use existing cryostat and Type-A tower) B. 400 W laser (\$3M?) with squeezing (\$1M?) and new sapphire fibers (\$1M?) C. Frequency dependent squeezing (\$3M?) and new sapphire fibers (\$1M?)
- Sensitivity optimization with particle swarm Y. Michimura et al, <u>Phys. Rev. D 97, 122003 (2018)</u>

Sensitivity of Near Term Candidates

• With budget constraint of ~\$5M, within ~5 years



Summary of Near Term Candidates

- A. New mirror takes time to fabricate
- B. High power operation is tough
- C. Does 100m F.C. fit in the facility?

	Inspi	BNS localize			
	BBH100	BBH30	BNS	(deg ²)	
bKAGRA	353	1095	153	0.183	
A. 40 kg mirror	339	1096	213	0.151	
B. 400 W laser sqz	117	314	123	0.114	
C. Freq. dep. sqz	470	1177	181	0.135	

GW170817-like binary, median of . sky locations, polarization angle

Longer Term Candidate

 100 kg mirror with 1/2 coating thermal, 320 W input, 10 dB input squeezing with 100 m filter cavity



Comparison between 2G and 2G+

A+: 325 Mpc
 AdV+ Phase I: 160 Mpc, Phase II: 300 Mpc



Summary of Past Studies

 LF seems technically unfeasible, HF has a potential to beat A+ with modest cost, broadband improvement would be the most steady upgrade

	LF	HF	Broadband
Cost to beat A+	~\$20M, ~10years	possibility ~\$5M,	~\$20M, ~10years
	:	~5years 🙂	\mathbf{c}
Feasibility	Narrow band	High power is	High
	is tough 🙁	tough 🔗	\odot
Unique	Possibility to	NS physics	Contribute to
science	detect	(SNR x1.3	statistics
	100Msun BH	than A+)	
	(x3 than A+🔁		20



What's Next?

- Collaboration-wide agreement on upgrade plan
 thorough explanation to collaboration
- Even more realistic and feasible plan
 - including budget, manpower, schedule
 - how to get the budget?
- Task assignment to people/institutes Work Breakdown Structure
- Integrated R&D (Project R&D)



Summary

- We have been sneakily but steadily studying KAGRA upgrade from F2F March 2017
- Studies on science case, technical feasibility, budget estimate were roughly done
 - various inputs from theorists and R&D experimentalists
- Our suggestion (NOT CONCLUSION)
 - Near term: Squeezing and/or higher power laser
 - Longer term: Filter cavity and heavier mirror
- Now time to make the plan even more realistic and feasible, to get things started

Supplementary Slides

2G/2G+ Parameter Comparison

	KAGRA	AdVirgo	aLIGO	A+	Voyager
Arm length [km]	3	3	4	4	4
Mirror mass [kg]	23	42	40	80	200
Mirror material	Sapphire	Silica	Silica	Silica	Silicon
Mirror temp [K]	22	295	295	295	123
Sus fiber	35cm Sap.	70cm SiO ₂	60cm SiO ₂	60cm SiO ₂	60cm Si
Fiber type	Fiber	Fiber	Fiber	Fiber	Ribbon
Input power [W]	67	125	125	125	140
Arm power [kW]	340	700	710	1150	3000
Wavelength [nm]	1064	1064	1064	1064	2000
Beam size [cm]	3.5 / 3.5	4.9 / 5.8	5.5 / 6.2	5.5 / 6.2	5.8 / 6.2
SQZ factor	0	0	0	6	8
F. C. length [m]	none	none	none	16	300

LIGO parameters from LIGO-T1600119, AdVirgo parameters from JPCS 610, 01201 (2015)

KAGRA Detailed Parameters

K. Komori *et al.*, <u>JGW-T1707038</u>

• Optical parameters

- Mirror transmission: 0.4 % for ITM, 10 % for PRM, 15.36 % for SRM
- Power at BS: 674 W
- Detune phase: 3.5 deg (DRSE case)
- Homodyne phase: 135.1 deg (DRSE case)

• Sapphire mirror parameters

- TM size: 220 mm dia., 150 mm thick
- TM mass: 22.8 kg
- TM temperature: 22 K
- Beam radius at ITM: 3.5 cm
- Beam radius at ETM: 3.5 cm
- Q of mirror substrate: 1e8
- Coating: tantala/silica
- Coating loss angle: 3e-4 for silica, 5e-4 for tantala
- Number of layers: 22 for ITM, 40 for ETM
- Coating absorption: 0.5 ppm
- Substrate absorption: 50 ppm/cm

• Suspension parameters

- TM-IM fiber: 35 cm long, 1.6 mm dia.
- IM temperature: 16 K
- Heat extraction: 5800 W/m/K at 20 K
- Loss angle: 5e-6/2e-7/7e-7 for CuBe fiber/sapphire fiber/sapphire blade

• Inspiral range calculation

- SNR=8, fmin=10 Hz, sky average constant 0.442478
- Seismic noise curve includes vertical coupling, vibration from 36 heatlinks and Newtonian noise from surface and bulk

KAGRA Cryopayload

Provided by T. Ushiba and T. Miyamoto

3 CuBe blade springs

MN suspended by 1 Maraging steel fiber (35 cm long, 2-7mm dia.) MRM suspended by 3 CuBe fibers

Heat link attached to MN

IM suspended by 4 CuBe fibers (24 cm long, 0.6 mm dia) IRM suspended by 4 CuBe fibers

• 4 sapphire blades

TM suspended by 4 sapphire fibers (35 cm long, 1.6 mm dia.) RM suspended by 4 CuBe fibers

Platform (SUS, 65 kg)

Marionette (SUS, 22.5 kg

Intermediate Mass (SUS, 20.1 kg, 16 K)

Test Mass (Sapphire, 23 kg, 22 K)

KAGRA Cryostat Schematic



KAGRA+ Sensitivity: Blue



KAGRA+ Sensitivity: Black

 Silicon 123 K, 1550 nm, radiative cooling 10-20 BNS 296 Mpc Mass: 114 kg Se BBH 3.2 Gpc (50 cm dia., 10⁻²¹ 25 cm thick) sensitivity [1/VHz P BS: 500 W 10⁻²² Fiber: 30 cm, 0.8 mm dia. 10⁻²³ Coating+M φ_susp: 1e-8 Quantum φ_coat: 1e-4 r_beam: 8.6 cm 10⁻²⁴ 100m F. C. 10 dB input sqz T SRM: 16 % 10⁻²⁵ 10^{0} 10^{2} 10^{4} 10^{1} 10^{3}

frequency [Hz]

KAGRA+ Sensitivity: Brown

• Same test mass, low power, high detuning, 20 K 10-20 BNS 133 Mpc Mass: 23 kg BBH 1.7 Gpc (22 cm dia., 10⁻²¹ Quantum 15 cm thick) sensitivity [1/√Hz P BS: 5.7 W 10⁻²² Fiber: 88 cm, 0.32 mm dia. 10⁻²³ Coating+Mig φ_susp: 2e-7 φ_coat: 5e-4 r_beam: 3.5 cm 10⁻²⁴ No sqz T SRM: 4.35 % 10⁻²⁵ 10^{2} 10^{4} 10^{0} 10³ 10^{1} 41 frequency [Hz]

KAGRA+ Sensitivity: Red

• Same test mass, high power, 24 K 10-20 BNS 191 Mpc Mass: 23 kg Se BBH 0.8 Gpc (22 cm dia., 10⁻²¹ 15 cm thick) sensitivity [1/√Hz P BS: 5.2 kW 10⁻²² Fiber: 20 cm, 2.4 mm dia. Quantum 10⁻²³ Coating+Micror φ_susp: 2e-7 φ coat: 5e-4 r_beam: 3.5 cm iens. 10⁻²⁴ No sqz

 10^{2}

frequency [Hz]

10⁻²⁵

 10^{0}

 10^{1}

42

SRM: 4.94 %

10⁴

 10^{3}

Plan A: 40 kg Mirror

• Also assumes factor of 2 coating loss angle reduction (no beam size change assumed)



Good for mid frequency improvement → BNS range optimized

T=20.1 K 181 W input thicker fiber 25.0 cm φ1.2 mm (thicker to allow for higher power)

Plan B: 400 W Laser with SQZ

Assumes 10dB input SQZ



Good for high frequency improvement → BNS range optimized

T=29.8 K 330 W input shorter and thicker fiber 20.1 cm φ1.2 mm (high power with high temperature)

Plan C: Freq. Dependent SQZ

Assumes 10dB input SQZ and 100 m filter cavity



Broadband improvement → BNS range optimized

T=21.8 K 85 W input thinner fiber 26.1 cm φ0.8 mm (SQZ helps to ease fiber requirement)

Longer Term Candidate

