#### Development and Characterization of Optical Follower Servo for Photon Calibrator for KAGRA Gravitational Wave Observation

Bin-Hua Hsieh, Yu-Kuang Chu<sup>A</sup>, Sadakazu Haino<sup>B</sup>, Yuki Inoue<sup>B, C</sup>, Takaaki Kajita, Nobuyuki Kanda<sup>D</sup>, Yoshiki Moriwaki<sup>E</sup>, Takaharu Shishido<sup>F</sup>, Takayuki Tomaru<sup>C, F</sup>, Satoshi Tsuchida<sup>D</sup>, Takahiro Yamamoto, Takaaki Yokozawa<sup>D</sup>

ICRR, NTNU<sup>A</sup>, Academia Sinica<sup>B</sup>, KEK<sup>C</sup>, Osaka City Univ.<sup>D</sup>, Univ. of Toyama<sup>E</sup>, SOKENDAI<sup>F</sup>

- Overview
- Instruments of Photon Calibrator
- Noise Requirements
- Optical Follower Servo and feedback loop
- Measurement plan
- Results
- Summary

Mar. 25<sup>th</sup>, 2018

#### • Overview

- Instruments of Photon Calibrator
- Noise Requirements
- Optical Follower Servo and feedback loop
- Measurement plan
- Results
- Summary

#### Why Calibration is important?



 LIGO and Virgo have already detected gravitational wave, we need the calibration to extract parameters accurately from gravitational wave signal.

#### **Goal of accuracy**

- 1% in amplitude
- 1 degree in phase



- 1. Characterize the displacement of mirror
- 2. Understand the parameter in realtime interferometer control in order to reconstruct the gravitational wave signal.



Receiver module



- Overview
- Instruments of Photon Calibrator
- Noise Requirements
- Optical Follower Servo and feedback loop
- Measurement plan
- Results
- Summary

# **Transmitter Module**



- 2 innovations compared to LIGO:
- 1. 20 watts high power laser
- 2. 2 Acoustic Optic Modulator (AOM)

# **Receiver Module**



#### QPD

Quadrant Photo Diode: Monitoring the beam position



Integrating sphere at Rx



- Overview
- Instruments of Photon Calibrator
- Noise Requirements
- Optical Follower Servo and feedback loop
- Measurement plan
- Results
- Summary

#### **Relative Power Noise Requirements**

KAGRA strain sensitivity



#### **Calibration Lines**



#### Harmonic Noise Requirements



After defining the requirement of relative power noise, we need to decrease the peaks of higher harmonic noise to below the requirement curve.

- Overview
- Instruments of Photon Calibrator
- Noise Requirements
- Optical Follower Servo and feedback loop
- Measurement plan
- Results
- Summary

#### **Power stabilization**



We use Optical Follower Servo and photodetector to make a closed-loop in order to reduce the noise of laser.

# **Optical Follower Servo**

#### OFS Back Board Ver. 1

#### OFS Front Board Ver. 4



#### **OFS & Interface Chassis**



- Overview
- Instruments of Photon Calibrator
- Noise Requirements
- Optical Follower Servo and feedback loop
- Measurement plan
- Results
- Summary

### Measurement Plan

Lab test: KEK

Kamioka test: KAGRA site

- Develop Photon Calibrator
- Measurement:
  - 1. Transfer function
  - 2. Relative Power Noise
  - 3. Higher Harmonic Noise
  - 4. Peak stability



- Assemble Photon Calibrator We are here!
- Measurement:
  - **1. Transfer function**
  - 2. Relative Power Noise
  - 3. Higher Harmonic Noise
  - 4. Peak stability





- Overview
- Instruments of Photon Calibrator
- Noise Requirements
- Optical Follower Servo and feedback loop
- Measurement plan
- Results
- Summary

#### **Transfer function**



Open-loopOFS1:56.91dBClosed-loopOFS1:-0.0124dBGainOFS2:56.07dBGainOFS2:-0.0136dB

#### **Transfer function**



$$G_{closed} = \frac{G_{open}}{1 + G_{open}} \quad \mathbf{O}$$

# Observed results consist with simulation result.



# Summary

- We built a Photon Calibrator with 20W laser for the reconstruction of gravitational wave.
- We used Optical Follower Servo to make a closedloop feedback control in order to decrease the noise of laser power.
- We finished the lab test in KEK, and we are going to move on to KAGRA site test.
- The measurement results of transfer function consist with simulation results, and each paths also consists with each other.

# Future Plan

- We are assembling Photon Calibrator and will characterize it in KAGRA site.
- We will measure the
  - transfer function,
  - relative power noise,
  - higher harmonic noise
  - peak stability

in KAGRA site, and compare the result with lab test.

# Supplementary



#### **AOM transmittance**

AOM transmittance divided by the peak value at 0.5V input

**AOM Transmittance** 



working point: input voltage at 0.23V

### **Transfer function**



#### Open-loop TF OFS1:56.91dB OFS2:56.07dB



In our measurement, P<sub>0</sub> comes after PD. Therefore, g = 100

# DAC noise

 I use spectrum analyzer and g=1000 (60dB) amplifier to check the noise level of DAC.



The noise level of DAC is -130Vrms/rtHz. In our measurement which DC signal of PD is around 3V, this DAC noise is around -140dB/rtHz. Mar. 25<sup>th</sup>, 2018 Spring JPS meeting @ TUS

#### Noise floor by changing Offset and Gain (Open loop)

 I measured closed loop noise level and open loop noise level of OFSPD1 with different gain and offset using spectrum analyzer.

#### Noise floor by changing Offset and Gain (Open loop)

To AOM(V)	Gain (dB)	OFS1 Offset	OFS2 Offset	OFS1 RPN	OFS2 RPN
0.1	0	0.12	0.12	-108.73	-108.73
	15.174	0.042	0.04	-97.73	-97.73
	31.808	0.0288	0.0277	-77.73	-77.73
0.2	0	0.22	0.22	-112.6	-112.96
	31.808	0.0314	0.0302	-87.6	-87.95
0.225	0	0.246	0.246	-114.13	-114.43
	31.808	0.032	0.0308	-89.13	-89.43
0.3	0	0.325	0.32	-115.67	-115.67
	31.808	0.0342	0.033	-92.67	-92.67
0.4	0	0.43	0.424	-114.82	-115.22
	31.808	0.037	0.0356	-99.82	-100.22

#### 2018 Spring JPS meeting @ TUS

#### Noise floor by changing Offset and Gain (Closed loop)

To AOM(V)	Gain (dB)	OFS1 Offset	OFS2 Offset	OFS1 RPN	OFS2 RPN
0.1	31.808	0.8	0.8	-127.73	-127.73
0.2	31.808	2.5	2.6	-124.60	-124.96
0.225	31.808	3	3.1	-124.40	-124.71
0.3	31.808	4.3	4.5	-122.61	-122.87
0.4	31.808	5.5	5.8	-127.74	-125.19

Mar. 25<sup>th</sup>, 2018

2018 Spring JPS meeting @ TUS

#### Discussion

- 1. From closed Loop measurement, change the offset doesn't effect the noise level too much.
- 2. From open loop measurement, we can see that if the gain increases, the noise also increases. If we decrease the gain in close loop measurement, then the noise level might decrease. Then we need to sacrifice the high gain in close loop feedback control.