Development of a low frequency vibration isolation system for KAGRA,

and study of the localization of coalescing binaries with a hierarchical network of gravitational wave detectors.

Master's thesis defense 35-156218 **Yoshinori Fujii**



1. Source localization

2. Detector development



Master's thesis defense on 3rd February, 2017, Yoshinori Fujii

18^b 16^b 14^b

-75°

-15

Gravitational wave







First detection! done!

→ New astronomy!

For starting astronomy,

for follow-up observation,

Source localization.

From where?





We want..

Continuous observation

Precise localization

All sky coverage

We want..

Continuous observation

Precise localization

All sky coverage



Different sensitivities.. OK?

Ex.) SNR > 5 \rightarrow detection



(At the beginning)

Different sensitivities.. OK?

Ex.) SNR > 5 \rightarrow detection



1) Triple (or more) coincidence
→ Rare

2) Double coincidence
→ Not precise localization

(At the beginning)

Hierarchical network search

Ex.

1) Set high/Low sensitivity \rightarrow higher/lower SNR threshold

2) Analyze high sensitivity detector \rightarrow low sensitivity detector



Hierarchical network search

1) Set high/Low sensitivity \rightarrow higher/lower SNR threshold

2) Analyze high sensitivity detector \rightarrow low sensitivity detector



Assumption in calculation



2. Two LIGOs (70 Mpc), Virgo (20 Mpc) — High sensitivity × 2 / Low sensitivity × 1

Calculation main flow 1



Calculation main flow 2



Expected performance, HLV

(SNR threshold for H, L = 5.)



Expected performance, HLV

(SNR threshold for H, L = 5.)



 \rightarrow By including low sensitivity detector, errors on sky maps will be reduced by a factor of \sim 0.7 than HL.

How about 4 detectors, HLVK?



Expected performance, HLVK

(SNR threshold for H, L = 5.)



Expected performance, HLVK

(SNR threshold for H, L = 5.)



Summary 1

A localization with a hierarchical network is demonstrated. (From sky maps \rightarrow first time.)

- **In network by 3 GW detectors (70 Mpc ×2 and 20Mpc),** Accuracy Precision are reduced by a factor of ~ 0.7 than HL.
 - → Low sensitivity detector can contribute!

In network by 4 GW detectors (70 Mpc ×2 and 20Mpc ×2), Accuracy: HLV ~ HLVK Precision: reduced by a factor of α 0.8 than HLV

- Precision: reduced by a factor of ~ 0.8 than HLV.
- → 4th detector can contributes!
- → useful for follow-up observation!

Source localization \rightarrow detector development



Gravitational wave detector



Michelson-based interferometer
 Fabry-Perot cavities
 km-arm



4) Suspended core optics



3 m

rror

dumm

Detector noise

- Quantum noise
- Thermal noise

. . .

 Seismic noise
 ✓
 ✓
 Mirror oscillation
 → Necessary to suppress



In case of KAGRA

Seismic noise



Seismic noise



Seismic attenuation



Seismic attenuation



Resonance damping & drift compensation



Resonance damping & drift compensation

→ Active control



KAGRA project

KAGRA

- 1) Japanese detector
- 2) now being developed
- 3) underground





KAGRA project

KAGRA

- 1) Japanese detector
- 2) now being developed
- 3) underground

iKAGRA

- 1) test run in 2016
- 2) Simple interferometer







iKAGRA suspension development










Sensors and actuators



Master's thesis defense on 3rd February, 2017, Yoshinori Fujii

Angular

sensor

Damping time & measurement



Damping time without damping







Damping time with damping







Damping time with damping



Damping time & measurement



Performance test 2

Discrepancy ~10



Upgrade: iKAGRA → final KAGRA



\rightarrow Design active control systems.

Steps for observation







Interferometer Lock



Upper stage → Damping

Lower stage → Alignment



46 / 51



47 / 51

Observation phase: Suppress RMS (Root Mean Square) & control noise



Observation phase: Suppress RMS (Root Mean Square) & control noise



Master's thesis defense on 3rd February, 2017, Yoshinori Fujii

49 / 51

Summary 2

iKAGRA-PR3 suspension was assembled for iKAGRA operation.
 Its performance were tested.
 → Simulation was consistent with measurement.

3) Active control system for type-Bp suspension is designed.
 → Clam-down phase: resonances → damped.
 → Observation phase: RMS & control noise → suppressed.

Summary

1. Source localization

A localization with hierarchical network is demonstrated. → Low sensitivity detector can contribute. → 4th detector contributes. → useful for follow-up observation.

2. Detector development

- 1) iKAGRA-PR3 suspension was assembled for iKAGRA operation.
- 2) Its performance were tested.
 - → Simulation was consistent with measurement.
- 3) Active control system for type-Bp suspension is designed.
 - → Clam-down phase: resonances → damped.
 - → Observation phase: RMS & control noise → suppressed.



Seismic noise of Kamioka (on 2016.5.10)



seismic noise was measured on 2016.5.10.

PR3 measurement was conducted on 2016.5.24.

Designing active control system / Control phase



Suppress large disturbance



Reduce RMS velocity RMS angle (Root-Mean-Square)



Keep position with low noise control

Designing active control system / Type-Bp SAS



Designing active control system 1



Simulation model: Based on rigid-body



Master's thesis defense on 3rd February, 2017, Yoshinori Fujii

57 / 51



TypeBpp SAS Eigen mode List : 24 modes





TypeBp SAS Eigen mode List : 36 modes





#31		#32		#33		#34		#35	
78.843Hz	More	78.843Hz	More	97.094Hz	More	98.66Hz	More	100.617Hz	More
#36									
126.38Hz	More								



* Start to generate skymaps with 4 detector (V1, K1 threshold = 3.5)





Calculation setup / 3 detector network by HLV

2. Transform HL into *HLV* coincidences.

1) Generating V1 triggers

V1 trigger based on random parameters : Vr (from noise)

SNR = random following measurement Timing = tH1 or tL1 + random [-35ms:35ms] Phase = random [0:2π]

```
V1 trigger based on
injection parameters : Vi (from signal)
```

```
SNR = metadata + Gauss(0,1)

Timing = metadata

+ Gauss(0,0.66 ms*\frac{6}{SNR})

Phase = measured + Gauss(0,0.25 rad)
```

→ <u>2) Mixing V1 triggers</u>

Case 1: worst case HL+Vr, or HL

(Based on FAP)

Case 2: best case HL+Vi, or HL

(Based on **SNR**th)

Case 3: Realistic case HL+Vr, or HL+Vi, or HL

(Based on *FAP* and *SNR*th)

Expected localization performance / by HLV



HL+Vinjection

Expected localization performance / by HLV



HL+Vrandom

- Typical sky maps in this method
 - \rightarrow sometimes fail to predict the location within 90 % confidence area.

Expected localization performance / by HLV



HL+Vrandom

- In this hierarchical network search, **HLV sky map** \rightarrow If there is no EM-counterpart in HLV map, **HL map**.
- It will be useful for GW-EM follow-up observation.

For further accuracy improvement:

Measured uncertainties on arrival time vs. SNR.



Relation between timing error and SNR

Detected arrival timing has
some uncertainties ∆t due to:
1) calibration uncertainty
2) discrepancies of templates.

and so on.

If SNR becomes large, Δt becomes small.

Since, accuracy largely depends on Δt ,

For further improvement of accuracy,

- \rightarrow Necessary to reduce timing error
- → Necessary to improve sensitivity of GW detectors.

Calculation setup / 4 detector network by HLVK

2. Transform HL into *HLVK* coincidences.

1) Generating V1 triggers

V1 trigger based on random parameters : Vr, Kr

SNR = random following measurement Timing = tH1 or tL1 + random [-35ms:35ms] Phase = random [0:2π]

V1 trigger based on

injection parameters : Vi, Ki

SNR = metadata + Gauss(0,1) **Timing** = metadata + Gauss(0,0.66 ms* $\frac{6}{SNR}$) **Phase** = measured + Gauss(0,0.25 rad) <u>2) Mixing V1 triggers</u>
 <u>Case 1: worst case</u>
 <u>HL+Vr, HL+Kr, HL+Vr+Kr or HL</u>
 (Based on *FAP*)

Case 2: best case HL+Vi, HL+Ki, HL+Vi+Ki or HL (Based on *SNR*th)

Case 3: Realistic case HL+Vr, HL+Kr, HL+Vr+Kr, HL+Vi, HL+Ki, HL+KVi+Ki, HL+Vr+Ki, HL+ViKr, or HL

(Based on *FAP* and *SNR*th)

Expected localization performance / by HLVK

HL + Vi + Ki

HL + Vr + Kr


Expected localization performance / by HLVK

HL + Vi + Ki

HL + Vr + Kr



Master's thesis defense on 3rd February, 2017, Yoshinori Fujii