My work in 2016 7-2

1

Hiroki Tanaka

heat load test(5th)

I analyzed the result.



When the power of the heater is 0.2475W…



Analysis

 We know the thermal conductivity of the sapphire fiber whose diameter is 1.6mm (Sascha's data).



Analysis

 I tried to calculate the temperature of the upper head of the fiber depending on the temperature of the hanger.



 First, I ignored the thermal resistance of Indium in order to make the issue simpler.

$$0.2475[W] = \int_{T_{upperhead}}^{14.04} \kappa \frac{S}{l} dT \qquad 14.04 \text{K} \cdots T_{lowerhead}$$

- $S=2.0\times10^{-6}$ [m²], l=0.09[m]
- $\kappa = 1.07T^3$ (9.5[K]<T<14[K], no data for under 9.5K)
- $T_{upperhead} = -7.6[K] \rightarrow Something is wrong...$

 The datas have some error, so I tried to explain the strangeness by the error.

I measured the diameter and the length of the fiber using the vernier micrometer $(/ \# \chi)$ and found that the diameter is 1.65-1.7mm and the length is 89.7mm.



 First I assumed the whole diameter to be 1.7mm to make the issue simpler (S=2.27×10⁻⁶[m²], l=0.0897[m]).

·
$$\kappa = 1.14T^3$$
 (Size effect)

$$\cdot \quad 0.2475 = \int_{T_{upperhead}}^{14.04} \kappa \frac{S}{l} dT$$

• $T_{upperhead}$ = 8.21[K] (Still smaller than the temperature of the blade (8.73K))

- · I checked the error of these things.
- The power which went through the fiber
- The temperature
- · The diameter of the fiber
- · The thermal conductivity

- · The power which went through the fiber
- The temperature
- The diameter of the fiber
- · The thermal conductivity

- The power of the heater was 0.2475W, but some of them went to the shield through the cable.
- I calculated the heat which went through the cable.



• The result… $W_{cable}=1.0$ [mW]

•
$$W_{fiber} = W_{all} - W_{cable} = 0.2465[W]$$

• 0.2465=
$$\int_{T_{upperhead}}^{14.04} \kappa \frac{S}{l} dT \rightarrow T_{upperhead} = 8.27[K]$$

• Still lower than the temperature of the blade (8.73K).

- · The power which went through the fiber
- The temperature
- The diameter of the fiber
- · The thermal conductivity

- So far, I have ignored the thermal resistance of Indium.
- · From now, I account for that of Indium.



- The error bar of each sensor is expected to be 0.10K.
- hanger…14.04±0.10K
- \cdot lower head of the fiber…13.73±0.10K

• 0.2465=
$$\int_{T_{upperhead}}^{13.73\pm0.10} \kappa \frac{S}{l} dT$$

• $T_{upperhead} = 4.28 \sim 7.00$ [K], less than the blade temperature.

- · The power which went through the fiber
- The temperature
- · The diameter of the fiber
- · The thermal conductivity

・ I measured the diameter of the fiber using the vernier micrometer (ノギス), so the error is about 0.05mm (ϕ should be 1.65~1.75mm).

$$0.2465 = \int_{8.73}^{13.73 \pm 0.10} \kappa \frac{S}{l} dT \qquad 8.73 \text{K} \cdots T_{blade} \\ 13.73 \text{K} \cdots T_{lowerhead}$$

• Result… $\phi = 1.79 \sim 1.85$ mm \rightarrow lt can't be explained.

- · The power which went through the fiber
- The temperature
- The diameter of the fiber
- · The thermal conductivity

 The thermal conductivity of the fiber depends on the fiber.

Solution

I want to measure it almost the same way as Sascha. In that case, I will use the smaller cryostat after Ushibasan's experiment. During the experiment, I will also measure Q-value of one fiber prototype.



Future work

- I will measure the thermal conductivity of the fiber.
- I will also measure Q-value of one fiber prototype.