JGW-T1301996-v1

19 Nov 2013

# Finite Element Analysis of Heat Links And Optimization Study

Takanori Sekiguchi Department of Physics, University of Tokyo

# 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Purpose and Scope

This document sets out finite element analysis of heat links to estimate their mechanical transfer functions. Optimization of heat links geometry is performed.

# **1.2. Applicable Documents**

- "Finite elemental analysis of heat links for LCGT", Y. Aso, JGW-G1000108-v4
- "Finite element analysis of heat links using COMSOL Multiphysics", T. Sekiguchi, JGW-T1301987-v2

# 1.3. Version History

• 19/11/2013, v1, initial release by T. Sekiguchi

# 2. General Description

### 2.1. About this study

Mechanics about heat links with several shapes is investigated. The purpose of this study is mainly the optimization of heat link geometry between the cooling bars and the suspension, but the study is also applicable for the heat links in between the suspension masses.

# 2.2. Calculation method

COMSOL multiphysics (ver. 4.2) is used for the finite element simulation. Details are written in  $\underline{T1301987}$ .

# 2.3. Geometry



Fig.1: Dimensioning of the suspension in the inner radiation shield

Figure 1 shows a schematic design of the cryogenic suspension inside the radiation shield. Heat links are attached to cooling bars, which creep out from the floor of the cryostat. The horizontal distance from the cooling bars and the suspended mass would be 200-300 mm.

In thermal simulations, the dimensions of the heat links between the suspension and the cooling bars were assumed to be: n = 7, d = 1 mm, L = 768 mm, material = 6N pure aluminum, for some historical reasons. Thermal simulations regarding these parameters are shown in G1100577, G1201221 and so on.

From the viewpoint of cooling, the heat resistance of the links between the cooling bars and the suspension must be comparable with that of wires with the above-shown parameters. On the other hand, from the viewpoint of vibration isolation, heat links with small thickness is favorable. Thence currently it is planned to use stranded wires with 0.05-0.2 mm diameter strands.

#### 2.4. Heat link model

Here we assume a stranded wire, with strand diameters *d* and number of the strands *N*. The effective second moment of area can be calculated as  $I = N\pi d^4/64$ . Number of the strands N is set so that the cross-section area of the wire  $A = N\pi d^2/4$  becomes equal to that of a 1 mm diameter wire, i.e.  $N = (1 \text{ [mm]}/d)^2$ . We assume a semi-ellipse shape wire, with a horizontal radius *a* and a vertical radius *b*.



Fig.2: Heat link geometry

# 3. Simulation Results

### 3.1. Original design

Here we assume an aluminum wire with parameters shown in Table 1 and 2.

	Description	Value
d	Strand diameter	1 [mm]
N	Number of strands	1
а	Horizontal radius	400 [mm]
b	Vertical radius	200 [mm]

Table 1: Parameters of the original design wire

	Description	Value
E	Young's modulus	70 [GPa]
ν	Poisson ratio	0.33
ρ	Volume density	2.7 [g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]
φ	Loss angle	1E-2

Table 2: Material properties of pure aluminum

Figure 3 shows calculated transfer functions of the wire, when it is attached to a free mass of 50 kg. Figure 4 shows frequency dependence of effective spring 'constants' of the wire. The orientations of X, Y, Z axes are shown in Figure 2.



Fig.3: Original wire transfer function (d=1mm, a=400mm, b=200mm)



Fig.4: Original wire stiffness coefficient (d=1mm, a=400mm, b=200mm)

The stiffness of the wire is larger in the longitudinal horizontal axis (X-axis) than in other axes. From the viewpoint of vibration isolation, the heat link longitudinal axis should be set in a perpendicular direction from the beam axis of the interferometer.

#### 3.2. Strand Diameter

Transfer functions of the wire with various strand diameters are shown in Figure 5, 6 and 7. In order to conserve the thermal resistance of the wire, the number of strands is set as  $N = (1 \text{ [mm]}/d)^2$ 



Fig.5: Transfer function of the wire in X-axis with various diameters (a=400mm, b=200mm)



Fig.6: Transfer function of the wire in Y-axis with various diameters (a=400mm, b=200mm)



Fig.7: Transfer function of the wire in Z-axis with various diameters (a=400mm, b=200mm)

The smaller the strand diameter becomes:

- The lower the first resonant frequency becomes.
- The more number of resonant peaks appear.
- The softer the wire become in DC (low frequency region).
- The lower the resonant peak height and the plateau region become at high frequencies.

Smaller diameter wire benefits in the overall vibration isolation performance. On the other hand, if one uses smaller diameter wire, it would be more probable that the resonances of the cooling bars and the heat links meet.

#### 3.3. Wire horizontal length

Transfer functions of the wire with various horizontal radii are shown in Figure 8, 9 and 10.



Fig.8: Transfer function of the wire in X-axis with various *a* (d=0.15mm, b=200mm)



Fig.9: Transfer function of the wire in Y-axis with various *a* (d=0.15mm, b=200mm)



Fig.10: Transfer function of the wire in Z-axis with various *a* (d=0.15mm, b=200mm)

The smaller the horizontal radius becomes:

- The higher the resonant frequencies become.
- The stiffer the wire becomes at DC in Y and Z axes.
- The stiffer the wire becomes also in the X-axis, but not critically.

In terms of vibration isolation performance in the observation band (>10 Hz), shorter wire benefits more because of fewer mechanical resonances. However, the increase of DC stiffness would be non-negligible for too short fibers.

#### 3.4. Wire vertical length

Transfer functions of the wire with various vertical radii are shown in Figure 11, 12 and 13.



Fig.11: Transfer function of the wire in X-axis with various *b* (d=0.15mm, a=250mm)



Fig.12: Transfer function of the wire in Y-axis with various *b* (d=0.15mm, a=250mm)



Fig.13: Transfer function of the wire in Z-axis with various *b* (d=0.15mm, a=250mm)

The smaller the vertical radius becomes:

- The higher the resonant frequencies become.
- The stiffer the wire becomes at DC in the X axis.
- The stiffer the wire becomes also in the Y and Z axes, but not critically.

### 3.5. Different Shape Wire

To be investigated.

# 4. Discussion

- A wire with thinner strands seems to be better in terms of vibration isolation. Strands should be as thin as possible in the range where the size effect is negligible.
- Vertical size of the wire loop affects DC stiffness in the longitudinal axis, while horizontal size affects in the transversal and vertical axes. Shorter wires have larger DC stiffness, while the isolation level in the observation band (>10 Hz) is not so different from that of longer wires.