


# KAGRA Risk Management



**Masaki Ando**  
(National Astronomical  
Observatory of Japan)

# Risk Management

- Potential risks are important information for the project management.
    - Important for careful progress evaluation.
    - Basic information for effective allocation of resources.
    - To clarify and to remind risks
      - Back-up plans or mitigation to avoid or to minimize delay.
- 'Necessity is the mother of invention'



Technical and schedule risks for each subsystem are being summarized up by SEO.



# Risk Management Activities

---

- Collected risk information from subsystems (Feb. 2012 -).
- Summarized them and presented at PAB (Feb. 23).
  - Suggestions from PAB members.



- Visited P. Grey (TMT sub-PM, Risk management leader) to hear about the TMT risk management (March 5).
- Risk meeting by subsystems + SEO (April. 2).
- Report at the External Review (April 17)
- Asking subsystems to update the risk information.

# KAGRA Risk Register

- KAGRA Risk Management.
  - Summarized in a **simple Excel file**.
  - Risk registers mainly by sub-group chiefs.
  - Total **~120 risks** (~10 risks for each subsystem)
  - Risk ID, Item, Date,  
Explanation, Impact, Mitigation/Back-up plan,  
Quantitative evaluation **P, S, R**  
(**P**robability, **S**eriousness, and Degree of **R**isk)  
Remark by SEO
  - **Risk meeting**  
Only one risk meeting  
→ Still with biases by personal impressions.



# KAGRA Risk Register

## • Quantitative evaluation P, S, R

### Probability P

- 0 The probability is extremely low and will almost never occur.
- 1 The probability is not large and will probably not occur.
- 2 The probability is around 0.5.
- 3 The probability is large and will probably occur.

### Seriousness S

- 0 It will not affect the successful completion of the project.
- 1 It will to some degree affect the successful completion of the project.
- 2 It will to some degree endanger the successful completion of the project.
- 3 It will result in the failure of the project.

### Degree of risk


$$R = P \times S.$$

# Screenshot of Risk Register

KAGRAリスク要因							2012.8.13						
仮ID	No.	サブシステム Subsystem	項目 Item	説明 Explanation	インパクト Impact	対応 Design/back-up plan	P Estimated Degree of Probabilit y	S Seriousne ss	R Degree of Risk	Selection			情報元 Source
										MA	KS	SM	
TUN-1	1	トンネル Tunnel (TUN)	静寂環境	期待しているほどの静寂環境が得られない。地面振動や多量の地下水による音響雑音、大気環境など。	望遠鏡の安定度・感度の悪化。	各サブシステムの性能向上。防音設備等の充実。	1	3	3				Uchiyama Aug. 6 2012
TUN-2	1	TUN	避難経路の確保	X-endからの避難経路が確保されていない。	重大な危険。		2	3	6	10	10		Uchiyama Aug. 6 2012
TUN-3	1	TUN	掘削完成遅れ	掘削完成遅れ	全体スケジュールに影響有り。	掘削業者がすべての責任を持つ。	2	3	6	10			Uchiyama Aug. 6 2012
TUN-4	1	TUN	防振用縦穴位置ずれ	防振用の縦穴掘削位置が設計値からずれる。	全体設計に影響有り。	測量を正確に行う。防振グループは余裕のある設計を行っておく。	1	3	3				Uchiyama Aug. 6 2012
FCL-0	2	施設 Facility	静寂環境	信号取得系機器やエアコン・クリーンブースの音が雑音源になる	感度を犯す雑音源になる	できるだけ、振動・騒音の少ない機器の選定、と隔離	2	3	2				Miyoki Aug. 8, 2012
FCL-1	2	FCL	電気環境	よいグラウンドが取れなくて、ハムが大きく残り、データ品質を落とす。	データ品質を落とす。		3	4	3				Miyoki Aug. 8, 2012
FCL-2	2	FCL	クリーン環境	鏡のロスを増やす	鏡の予定性能が出ない。感度悪化。	興研のferinaを利用したブースの利用	3	3	3				Miyoki Aug. 8, 2012
FCL-3	2	FCL	温度・湿度環境	高湿度・高温環境が、機器類の故障を誘発する	維持コストの増大・Duty Factorの低下を招く	特に腕部は、除湿機能付きボックスに格納	3	5	3				Miyoki Aug. 8, 2012
FCL-4	2	FCL	ネットワーク	ネット転送速度の維持・冗長性	データが転送できず、データがふれる。最悪取りこぼす。	二重化できる場所はする	1	5	1				Miyoki Aug. 8, 2012

# Statistics

- Total risk registers : 116, Avg. of 'R' : 3.3,  
R  $\geq$  6 risks : 21, R=9 risks : 6





# Top Six Risks

※ With biases by personal impression.

- Cryogenics (CRY) : Budget

- The budget for cryo-payload is not assigned yet.
- Very serious. Cryogenic system will not be completed.
- Budget request to the government.

New!

- Vibration Isolation (VIS) : Availability of Materials.

- Production volume of Maraging steel for GAS filter is small.
- The production lead time will be about 2 years.
- Now, a company has a reserved stock, but hard to keep it because of non-healthy financial situation of that company.
- Argent procurement is necessary.



# Six-Largest Risks

※ With biases by personal impression.

- **Main Interferometer (MIF) : Commissioning and Man Power.**
  - There will be unexpected delay in commissioning.  
Lack of Man power will be crucial.
  - Very serious. Schedule will not be kept.  
→ Detailed commissioning plan.  
Careful test before installation.
- **Auxiliary Optics (AOS) : Cleanliness and Schedule.**
  - Clean environment during installation.
  - Contamination of optics, Increase of scattered light.  
→ Careful planning and preparation for installation.

# Subsystem Details

	Subsystem	Entry	Avg(R)	Sum(R)	$R \geq 6$
	1. TUN	4	4.5	18	2
	2. FCL	5	2.4	12	0
	3. VAC	8	1.1	9	0
	4. CRY	26	4.2	108	5
New!	5. VIS	5	4.4	22	1
	6. MIR	6	3.8	23	0
	7. LAS	6	4.2	25	2
New!	8. MIF	21	4.1	87	5
New!	9. IOO	5	0.4	2	0
New!	10. AOS	10	4.5	45	3
	11. AEL	3	---	---	---
New!	12. DGS	8	2.8	22	2
	13. DAS	3	2.0	6	0
	14. GIF	---	---	---	---
	0. PM	---	---	---	---

## Large risk factors ( $R \geq 6$ )

- Man power, Budget, Schedule
- Material availability (VIS, CRY)
- Environment (CRY, AOS, AEL)
- Damage (LAS, AOS, DGS)
- Mirror quality (MIF)
- Scattered light (AOS)

※ With biases by personal impression.  
Numbers will be changed easily.



# Summary

---

- We are summarizing risk factors
  - Basic information for the project management.
- Continuous update and remind are important.
  - Being discussed and updated in subsystem visitings.
  - Need risk meetings ???

It is important to 'predict unexpected problems'.

# TMT Risk Management

- TMT risk management.
  - Web-based system developed in the TMT collaboration.
    - \* All project staff have usernames, are encouraged to submit new risks & comment on existing risks.
    - \* Allows real-time new risk entries & edits.
  - Risk registers
    - \* Total risk registers <200. Risks in project management included.
    - \* Categorize the risk registers in sub-system, construction phase.
    - \* Three ranks in 'severity', 'probability' and 'overall risk'.
    - \* 'Mitigation' includes prevention and back-up plan.
  - Regular risk meetings in every 3-months.
    - \* New risk entries are evaluated and approved.
    - \* Follow-up technical discussions.



# TMT and KAGRA

- Visiting P. Grey was very helpful for us.
    - Similar concept
      - \* As simple as possible. Total number <200.
      - \* Simple rating in possibility, seriousness, and total risk.
    - TMT is more systematic.
      - \* Web-based risk-register system developed in TMT.
      - \* Regular risk meetings ~ every 3 months.
- ⇒ We got a kind of confidence on our direction.  
Imported good points from TMT