Parametric Instability in the bLCGT Arm Cavity

University of Tokyo, Kazunori SHIBATA

LCGT f2f meeting, ICRR Feb. 3, 2012

Outline

- I. Background: Why consider the PI?
- 2. Introduction: What is the PI?
- 3. Strategy: What and how to calculate
- 4. Result
- 5. Discussion
- 6. Conclusion

Detail report: JGW-T1200787

Background: Why consider the PI? (1)

- Curvatures(g-factors) have not been determined yet.
- There are 2 candidates for g-factor(a set of ITM/ETM curvatures)

Negative g-factor set ITM curvature: $R_1 = 1.68$ km. ETM curvature: $R_2 = 1.87$ km **Positive** g-factor set ITM curvature: $R_1 = 14$ km ETM curvature: $R_2 = 7.5$ km

PI=Parametric Instability

Background: Why consider the PI? (2)

- The negative g-factor set is said to be better in the ASC report [1].
- But it is known that for the negative g-factor set, the parametric instability (PI) might become a serious problem.
- [1] Michimura's talk(f2f in Aug. 2011)

Introduction: What is the PI? (1)

- In the FP cavity, the optical power in the cavity becomes enormous.
- Elastic modes scatter the fundamental mode E₀₀ to the higher TEM modes TEM_{mn}.



 TEM_{mn} also excite elastic modes by pumping mirrors.

Introduction: What is the PI? (2)

 By this cycle, some elastic modes are so excited that it make trouble with locking the interferometer.



Introduction: What is the PI? (3)

• The PI condition is represented as follows [2]: $\mathcal{R} > 1$.

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum \frac{2P}{cLM} \frac{Q_{\rm m}}{\omega_{\rm m}^2} \left(\frac{Q_{\rm PR}}{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta\omega}{\delta\omega_{\rm PR}}\right)^2} + \frac{Q_{\rm RSE}}{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta\omega - \Delta_{\rm RSE}}{\delta\omega_{\rm RSE}}\right)^2} \right) \Lambda,$$

 Λ : overlap factor between the optical & elastic modes,

 $\Delta\omega = \omega_{00} - \omega_{\rm TEM} - \omega_{\rm m},$

 $\omega_{\rm m}$: angular freq. of the elastic mode.

 \mathcal{R} is called a "parametric gain," and the summation is taken over all optical modes.

Frankly speaking, PI occurs when the TEM modes and the elastic modes are similar shape and their freqs. are same.

Strategy: What to calculate (1)

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum \frac{2P}{cLM} \frac{Q_{\rm m}}{\omega_{\rm m}^2} \left(\frac{Q_{\rm PR}}{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta\omega}{\delta\omega_{\rm PR}}\right)^2} + \frac{Q_{\rm RSE}}{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta\omega - \Delta_{\rm RSE}}{\delta\omega_{\rm RSE}}\right)^2} \right) \Lambda$$

- When R₁, R₂ changes within their errors, the transverse mode frequencies vary.
 →In some area of the (R₁, R₂) plane, parametric gain might be large.
- Calculated by COMSOL with MATLAB

Strategy: How to calculate (2)

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum \frac{2P}{cLM} \frac{Q_{\rm m}}{\omega_{\rm m}^2} \left(\frac{Q_{\rm PR}}{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta\omega}{\delta\omega_{\rm PR}}\right)^2} + \frac{Q_{\rm RSE}}{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta\omega - \Delta_{\rm RSE}}{\delta\omega_{\rm RSE}}\right)^2} \right) \Lambda$$



Parameters

- Mirror radius r = 110 mm
- Mirror depth d = 150 mm
- Mirror direction c-axis
- Power in the main cavity P = 0.41 MW
- Wavelength $\lambda = 1064 \text{ nm}$
- Main cavity length L = 3000 m
- SR cavity length $L_{SRC} = 66.591 \text{ m}$
- Q-value of mechanical modes $Q_{\rm m} = 10^8$
- Finesse $\mathcal{F} = 1550$
- Power transmittance of the PR mirror $T_{\rm PR} = 0.10$
- Power transmittance of the RSE mirror $T_{RSE} = 0.37$



Result (with PR and detuned RSE)



Result (with PR and detuned RSE)



Discussion (positive g)

 $\operatorname{max}(\mathcal{R}) = 4000$

• Only I elastic mode C is so unstable in the sense that $\mathcal{R} \gg 1$:



The overlap is largest with the TEM20 mode.

• We should care about only the elastic mode C.



Discussion (negative g)

- max(R) = 16000
 (4 times larger than the positive g)
- In addition to C, the below 2 modes are also unstable :



• For both A and B, their overlaps are largest with **TEM02**.



Conclusion

- In the previous figs., we see more colored region in the positive case.
- The negative g case has more elastic mode to care than the positive case.
 (Since when curvature R changes with 2%, g = 1 L/R varies more drastic for the negative g than for the positive g.)
- But the PI does not seem to be a serious problem in both cases(Not Always $\mathcal{R} > 1$).
- With the ASC report by Michimura,
 the negative g is finally supported.
 (@ the MIF meeting 1/19)

Fin.

Appendix A: Calculation error

- Q. By setting the curvature requirement like +1%/-0% (not ±0.5% as usual), can we get rid of the PI risk in advance?
- ▲. Since the error of FEM calc. is 1~5%, the error of max(R) should be > 2%. So we cannot get rid of the risk completely.

(To get rid of the PI in advance, the error of the FEM should be $\ll 0.5\%$. And there is no such a method.)

Appendix B: Check with the old result

- Often reported that the FEM calculations return with > 1% errors.
- Check with the Yamamoto's result(old parameters) [2]
 →My result agree with it by < 2% errors for the peak position and value.
 →OK

[2] K.Yamamoto *et al.*, Amaldi proceedings(2009)



Appendix C: Loss of higher TEM modes

For higher TEM modes, the power loss at mirrors is large.

So, the Finesse becomes smaller than $\mathcal{F} = 1550$.

• Q. How is that effect?

A. The highest peak comes from TEM20&02, and their power loss are about 3 ppm. So that effect doesn't make role.

Appendix D: Beam miss-centering

- A beam miss-centering might be occurs with Imm error.
- Q. By this error, the Pl occurs?
- A. No. (By miss-centering, *R* changes only 1%.)



Appendix E: When we face with the PI

 Suppress the quality value of the elastic mode by some actuators.

```
[3] Zhang et al., 2011
```



 We should prepare for the PI by some method.