# LCGT OMC design ver.1 Sep 5, 2011 Kentaro Somiya Tokyo Inst of Technology $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ 19

## Previous works 1

#### (1) LIGO-DCC-T060257-03

- OMC for eLIGO (aluminum)
- double pendulum
- top: controlled by OSEMs (7?)
- bottom: 45x15x4cm baseplate
- will be silica in aLIGO

#### (2) LCGT document Sec. 7.4

#### OMC for RF readout

- low finesse (F=14)
- very short length (Lmc=3.5cm)
- piezo attached on OMC end
- should be changed for DC readout







#### Previous works 2

(3) R.Ward's PhD thesis (LIGO-DCC-P1000018)

OMC in the 40m (Copper)

- 4-mirror ring cavity
- high finesse (F=210)
- short length (Lmc=48cm)
- piezo attached on one mirror
- dither signal (12kHz)
- 2 steering mirrors between IFO and OMC to control tilts
- MMT between the last steering mirror and OMC

top: 40m DC readout sensing chain bottom: mode scans of the AS port





## LCGT OMC Requirement

- Local oscillator light is ~1mW\*, HOM is ~25mW, and RF SB (16.875MHz) is ~200mW
- OMC should suppress RF by 1/10000 and HOM by 1/1000 or less so that shot noise increases no more than 5% (-> 2%)
- The signal optical loss at OMC should be less than 5% (-> 3%)
- PD quantum efficiency should be more than 95%
- OMC displacement noise, TBD

```
* arm loss = 45+/-4ppm
is assumed
```

## <u>Preliminary design</u>



- Lomc = 85cm (roundtrip length /2)
- finesse = 520
   (Rin = Rout = 99.4%)
- Optical loss = 45ppm
- f1 SB suppression = 10<sup>-4</sup>
  - HOM suppression, TBD
  - signal loss = 2.3%
  - RoC, TBD
  - double pendulum

## <u>To-do list</u>

- Astigmatism calculation (why is the 4-mirror cavity better?)
- HOM transmittance at OMC
- Displacement noise at OMC
- Re-optimization of the optical parameters
- RoCs and MMTs
- LSC and ASC

## HOM calculation



Calculation was done using FINESSE.

0.004 deg offset applied for DC readout

With the SRC folded, the total HOMs at AS is ~25mW.